

THIRD-ORDER SANDWICH RESULTS FOR ANALYTIC UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS DEFINED BY INTEGRAL OPERATOR

Saad Raheem Bakheet

Department of Mathematics/ College of Science/University of Al-Qadisiyah,/Diwaniyah- Iraq
waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq

Waggas Galib Atshan

Department of Mathematics/ College of Science/University of Al-Qadisiyah,/Diwaniyah- Iraq
ma20.post8@qu.edu.iq

Abstract

In the present paper, we obtain Third-Order sandwich theorems for univalent functions by using some results of differential subordination and superordination for univalent functions involving integral operator.

Keywords: Analytic function, Integral Operator, Differential Subordination, Superordination, Sandwich theorem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification : 30C45

1-Introduction

Let $H(U)$ be the class of functions which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z: z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1\}$. For $n \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{C}$. Let $H[a, n] = \{f: f \in H(U) \text{ and } f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots\}$ and suppose that $H_0 = H[0, 1]$. We denote by $\mathcal{A} \subset H(U)$ the class of all normalized analytic functions in U of the form:

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, (z \in U). \quad (1.1)$$

Let f and g be members of the analytic function class $H(U)$, f is said to be subordinate to g , or g is superordinate to f , if there exists a Schwarz function $h(z)$, analytic in U with $h(0) = 0$ and $|h(z)| < 1, (z \in U)$,

such that $f(z) = g(h(z)), (z \in U)$. In such a case, we write $f < g$ or $f(z) < g(z), (z \in U)$. Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in U , then, we have the following equivalence: (see[3,17]).

$$f(z) < g(z) \leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

Jung, Kim and Srivastava [18] introduced the following integral operator:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) = \left(\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\beta}\right) \frac{\alpha}{z^{\beta}} \int_0^z \left(1 - \frac{t}{z}\right)^{\alpha-1} t^{\beta-1} f(t) dt, \quad (1.2)$$

where $(\alpha > 0, \beta > -1; f \in \mathcal{A})$.

They showed that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\beta+n) \Gamma(\alpha+\beta+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+n) \Gamma(\beta+1)} a_n z^n. \quad (1.3)$$

It is easily verified from definition (1.3) that

$$z \left(Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) \right)' = (\alpha + \beta) Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z) - (\alpha + \beta - 1) Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z). \quad (1.4)$$

The notion of the third-order differentiation subordination can be found in the work of Ponnusamy and Juneja [19]. The recent work by Tang et al. (see for example, [22] and [23]; see also [13]) The second and third-order differential subordination attracted many researchers in this field. For example, see ([1,2,4,5,6,7,8,9,10,11,12,13,14,15,16,20,21]).

Here, we discuss suitable class of admissible function associated with the integral operator and derive sufficient conditions on the normalized analytic function the sandwich condition.

2- Preliminaries

we need the following definition and lemmas to prove our results.

Definition (2.1) [3]. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the function $h(z)$ be univalent in U . If $p(z)$ is analytic in U and satisfies the following third-order differential subordination:

$$\Phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z); z) < h(z), \quad (2.1)$$

then $p(z)$ is called a solution of the differential subordination (2.1). A univalent function $q(z)$ is called a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination (2.1), or more simply a dominant if $p(z) < q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (2.1). A dominant $\tilde{q}(z)$ that satisfies $\tilde{q}(z) < q(z)$ for all dominants $q(z)$ of (2.1) is said to be the best dominant.

Definition (2.2) [3]. Let $\mathbb{Q}$ denote the set of all functions q that are analytic and univalent on the set $\bar{U} \setminus E(q)$, where

$$E(q) = \{\xi: \xi \in \partial U: \lim_{z \rightarrow \xi} q(z) = \infty\},$$

and $\min |q'(\xi)| = p > 0$ for $\xi \in \partial U \setminus E(q)$. Further, let the subclass of $\mathbb{Q}$ for which $q(0) = a$ be denoted by $\mathbb{Q}(a)$, with $\mathbb{Q}(0) = \mathbb{Q}_0$ and $\mathbb{Q}(1) = \mathbb{Q}_1 = \{q \in \mathbb{Q}: q(0) = 1\}$.

The subordination methodology is applied to an appropriate classes of admissible functions.

The following class of admissible functions is given by Antonino and Miller [17].

Definition (2.3) [3]. Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$. The class of admissible functions $\Psi_n[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$\Phi(r, s, t, u; z) \notin \Omega,$$

whenever

$$r = q(\xi), s = k\xi q'(\xi), \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{t}{s} + 1\right) \geq k \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} + 1\right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{u}{s}\right) \geq k^2 \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\xi^2 q'''(z)}{q'(z)}\right),$$

where $z \in U, \xi \in \partial U \setminus E(q)$, and $k \geq n$.

Lemma (2.1) [3]. Let $p \in H[a, n]$ with $n \geq 2$. Also, let $q \in \mathbb{Q}(a)$ and satisfy the following conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)}\right) \geq 0, \text{ and } \left|\frac{zp'(z)}{q'(\xi)}\right| \leq k,$$

where $z \in U$, $\xi \in \partial U \setminus E(q)$, and $k \geq n$. If Ω is a set in $\mathbb{C}$, $\Phi \in \Psi_n[\Omega, q]$ and

$$\Phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) \in \Omega,$$

then $p(z) < q(z)$, ($z \in U$).

Definition (2.4) [23]. Let $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the function $h(z)$ be analytic in U . If the function $p(z)$ and $\Phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z)$ are univalent in U and satisfy the following Third-Order differential superordination:

$$h(z) < \Phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z), \quad (2.2)$$

then $p(z)$ is called a solution of the differential superordination. An analytic function $q(z)$ is called a subordinant of the solutions of the differential superordination, or more simply, a subordinant if $q(z) < p(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (2.2). A univalent subordinant $\tilde{q}(z)$ that satisfies the condition $q(z) < \tilde{q}(z)$ for all subordnants $q(z)$ of (2.2) is said to be the best subordinant.

Definition (2.5) [23]. Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$, $q \in H[a, n]$ and $q'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Psi'_n[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$\Phi(r, s, t, u; \xi) \in \Omega,$$

whenever

$$r = q(z), \quad s = \frac{zq'(z)}{m}, \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{t}{s} + 1\right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + 1\right),$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{u}{s}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z^2q'''(z)}{q'(z)}\right),$$

where $z \in U$, $\xi \in \partial U$ and $m \geq n \geq 2$.

Lemma(2.2)[23]. Let $q \in H[a, n]$ and $\Phi \in \Psi'_n[\Omega, q]$. If $\Phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z)$ is univalent in U and $p \in \mathbb{Q}(a)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \left|\frac{\xi p'(z)}{q'(z)}\right| \leq m,$$

where $z \in U$, $\xi \in \partial U$ and $m \geq n \geq 2$,

then

$$\Omega \subset \{\Phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z): z \in U\}$$

implies that

$$q(z) < p(z), (z \in U).$$

3- Third-Order Differential Subordination Results

Here, we introduce some differential subordination results by using the integral operator.

Definition (3.1). Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_0 \cap H_0$. The class $\mathcal{L}_j[\Omega, q]$ of admissible functions consists of those functions $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility conditions:

$$\Phi(a, b, c, d; z) \notin \Omega,$$

whenever

$$a = q(\xi), b = \frac{\xi k q'(\xi) + (\alpha + \beta - 1)q(\xi)}{\alpha + \beta},$$

$$Re \left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2 c - (\alpha + \beta - 1)[2(\alpha + \beta)(a + b) - a]}{(\alpha + \beta)b - (\alpha + \beta - 1)a} \right) \geq k Re \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} + 1 \right),$$

and

$$Re \left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)^3(d - 3c) + [(\alpha + \beta)^2(7(\alpha + \beta) - 4) + (\alpha + \beta)]b + (\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta - 1)[5 - 9(\alpha + \beta)]a}{(\alpha + \beta)b - (\alpha + \beta - 1)a} \right) \geq k^2 Re \left(\frac{\xi^2 q'''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right),$$

where $z \in U$, $\xi \in \partial U/E(q)$ and $k \geq 2$.

Theorem (3.1). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j[\Omega, q]$. If the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_0 \cap H_0$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$Re \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)} \right| \leq k \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\{\Phi(\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z) : z \in U\} \subset \Omega, \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z) < q(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $p(z)$ in U by

$$p(z) = \mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z). \quad (3.3)$$

From equation (1.4) and (3.3), we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z) = \frac{zp'(z) + (\alpha + \beta - 1)p(z)}{\alpha + \beta}. \quad (3.4)$$

By a similar argument, we get

$$\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z) = \frac{z^2 p''(z) + [2(\alpha + \beta) - 1]zp'(z) + (\alpha + \beta - 1)^2 p(z)}{(\alpha + \beta)^2}, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z) = \frac{z^3 p'''(z) + 3(\alpha + \beta)z^2 p''(z) + [(\alpha + \beta)((\alpha + \beta) + 1)]zp'(z) + (\alpha + \beta - 1)^3 p(z)}{(\alpha + \beta)^3} \quad (3.6)$$

Define the transformation from $\mathbb{C}^4$ to $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} a(r, s, t, u) &= r, b(r, s, t, u) = \frac{s + (\alpha + \beta - 1)r}{\alpha + \beta}, \\ c(r, s, t, u) &= \frac{t + [2(\alpha + \beta) - 1]s + (\alpha + \beta - 1)^2 r}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

and

$$d(r, s, t, u) = \frac{u + 3(\alpha + \beta)t + [(\alpha + \beta)((\alpha + \beta) + 1)]s + (\alpha + \beta - 1)^3 r}{(\alpha + \beta)^3}. \quad (3.8)$$

Let $\varphi(r, s, t, u) = \Phi(a, b, c, d) =$

$$\Phi \left(r, \frac{s + (\alpha + \beta - 1)r}{\alpha + \beta}, \frac{t + [2(\alpha + \beta) - 1]s + (\alpha + \beta - 1)^2 r}{(\alpha + \beta)^2}, \frac{u + 3(\alpha + \beta)t + [(\alpha + \beta)((\alpha + \beta) + 1)]s + (\alpha + \beta - 1)^3 r}{(\alpha + \beta)^3} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

The proof will make use of Lemma (2.1). Using equations (3.2) to (3.5), and from (3.8), we have $\varphi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z); z) =$

$$\Phi(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z). \quad (3.10)$$

Hence, clearly, (3.2) leads to

$$\varphi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z); z) \in \Omega,$$

we note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2 c - (\alpha + \beta - 1)[2(\alpha + \beta)(a + b) - a]}{(\alpha + \beta)b - (\alpha + \beta - 1)a}$$

and

$$\frac{u}{s} = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^3(d - 3c) + [(\alpha + \beta)^2(7(\alpha + \beta) - 4) + (\alpha + \beta)]b + (\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta - 1)[5 - 9(\alpha + \beta)]a}{(\alpha + \beta)b - (\alpha + \beta - 1)a}.$$

Thus clearly, the admissibility condition for $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j[\Omega, q]$ in Definition (3.1) is equivalent to admissibility condition for $\varphi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ as given in Definition (2.3) with $n = 2$. Therefore, by using (3.1) and Lemma (2.1), we have

$$Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) < q(z).$$

This completes the proof of theorem (3.1).

Our next results is a consequence of Theorem (3.1) for the case when the behavior of $q(z)$ on ∂U is not known.

Corollary (3.1). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and the function q be univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$. Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j[\Omega, q_\rho]$ for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$, where $q_\rho(z) = q(\rho z)$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and q_ρ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi q_\rho''(\xi)}{q_\rho'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \left| \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{q_\rho'(\xi)} \right| \leq k, (z \in U, \xi \in \partial U \setminus E(q_\rho) \text{ and } k \geq 2.)$$

and

$$\Phi(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) < q_\rho(z), (z \in U).$$

Proof. By applying Theorem (3.1), we get

$$Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) < q_\rho(z), (z \in U).$$

The result asserted by Corollary (3.1) is now deduced from following subordination property $q_\rho(z) < q(z)$, $(z \in U)$.

This completes the proof of Corollary (3.1).

In particular case when $h(z)$ conformal transformation of U onto Ω such that $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is simply connected domain, thus $\Omega = h(U)$, we denote the class $\mathcal{L}_j[h(U), q]$ by $\mathcal{L}_j[h, q]$.

The following two results are immediate consequence of Theorem (3.1) and corollary (3.1).

Theorem (3.2). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j[h, q]$. If the following $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_0 \cap H_0$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi q_\rho''(\xi)}{q_\rho'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \left| \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{q_\rho'(\xi)} \right| \leq k \quad (3.11)$$

and

$$\Phi(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z) < h(z). \quad (3.12)$$

Then

$$Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z) < q(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

The next result is an immediate consequence of corollary (3.1).

Corollary (3.2). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and q be univalent function in U with $q(0) = 1$. Also let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j[\Omega, q]$ for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$, where $q_\rho(z) = q(\rho z)$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and q_ρ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi q_\rho''(\xi)}{q_\rho'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \left| \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{q_\rho'(\xi)} \right| \leq k, \quad (z \in U, \xi \in \partial U/E(q_\rho) \text{ and } k \geq 2)$$

and

$$\Phi(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z) < h(z),$$

then

$$Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z) < q(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

The following result yield the best dominant of differential subordination (3.12).

Theorem (3.3). Let h be univalent function in U . Also let $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and φ be given by (3.10). Suppose that following differential equation:

$$\varphi(q(z), zq'(z), z^2q''(z), z^3q'''(z); z) = h(z) \quad (3.13)$$

has a solution $q(z)$ with $q(0) = 1$, which satisfies the condition (3.1). If $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the condition (3.12) and if

$$\Phi(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z),$$

is analytic in U , then

$$Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) < q(z), \quad (z \in U)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Proof. From Theorem (3.1), we see that q is a dominant of (3.12). Since q satisfies (3.13), it is also a solution of (3.12). Therefore, q will be dominated by all dominants. Hence q is the best dominant. This completes the proof of Theorem (3.3).

In view of Definition (3.1), and in special case when $q(z) = Mz$ ($M > 0$), the class $\mathcal{L}_j[\Omega, q]$ of admissible functions, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_j[\Omega, M]$ is expressed as follows.

Definition (3.2). Let Ω be set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $M > 0$. The class $\mathcal{L}_j[\Omega, M]$ of admissible functions consists of those functions $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\Phi \left(Me^{i\theta}, \frac{(k+(\alpha+\beta-1))Me^{i\theta}}{\alpha+\beta}, \frac{L+[[2(\alpha+\beta)-1]k+(\alpha+\beta-1)^2]Me^{i\theta}}{(\alpha+\beta)^2}, \frac{N+3(\alpha+\beta)L+[(\alpha+\beta)((\alpha+\beta)+1)]k+(\alpha+\beta-1)^3]Me^{i\theta}}{(\alpha+\beta)^3}; z \right) \notin \Omega, \quad (3.14)$$

whenever $z \in U$,

$$\operatorname{Re}(Le^{-i\theta}) \geq (k-1)kM$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}(Ne^{-i\theta}) \geq 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}, k \geq 2.$$

Corollary (3.3). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j[\Omega, M]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$|Q_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)| \leq kM, \quad (z \in U, k \geq 2; M > 0)$$

and

$$\Phi(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z); z) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$|Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)| < M.$$

In the special case, when $\Omega = q(U) = \{w: |w| < M\}$, the class $\mathcal{L}_j[\Omega, q]$ is simply denoted by $\mathcal{L}_j[M]$. Corollary (3.3) can now be rewritten in the following form,

Corollary (3.4). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j[M]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$|Q_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)| \leq kM, \quad (z \in U, k \geq 2; M > 0)$$

and

$$|(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z); z)| < M,$$

then

$$|Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)| < M.$$

Corollary (3.5). Let $k \geq 2$, and $M > 0$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$|Q_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)| \leq kM$$

and

$$|Q_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z) - Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)| \leq \frac{M}{\alpha + \beta},$$

then

$$|Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)| \leq M.$$

Proof. Let $\Phi(a, b, c, d; z) = b - a$, $\Omega = h(U)$, where $h(z) = \frac{(k-1)Mz}{\alpha+\beta}$, $z \in U, M > 0$.

By using Corollary (3.3), we need to show that $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j[\Omega, M]$. That is the admissibility condition (3.14) is satisfied. This follows readily, since it is seen that

$$|\Phi(a, b, c, d; z)| = \left| \frac{(k-1)}{\alpha+\beta} Me^{i\theta} \right| = \frac{k-1}{\alpha+\beta} M \geq \frac{M}{\alpha+\beta},$$

whenever $z \in U, \theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \geq 2$.

Definition (3.3). Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_1 \cap H_1$. The class $\mathcal{L}_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$ of admissible functions consists of those functions $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which satisfy the following admissibility conditions:

$$\Phi(a, b, c, d; z) \notin \Omega,$$

whenever

$$a = q(\xi), \quad b = \frac{k\xi q'(\xi) + (\alpha + \beta)q(\xi)}{\alpha + \beta},$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)[c + a - 2b]}{b - a} \right) \geq k \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} + 1 \right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2[d - 3c + 3b - a] - (\alpha + \beta)[5a + 3(c - 2b)]}{b - a} + 2 \right) \geq k^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi^2 q'''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right),$$

where $z \in U, \xi \in \partial U \setminus E(q)$ and $k \geq 2$.

Theorem (3.4). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$. If the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_1 \cap H_1$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z q'(z)} \right| \leq k \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\left\{ \Phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{z}; z \right) : z \in U \right\} \subset \Omega, \quad (3.16)$$

then

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z} < q(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $p(z)$ in U by

$$p(z) = \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}. \quad (3.17)$$

From equation (1.4) and (3.17), we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z} = \frac{z p'(z) + (\alpha + \beta)p(z)}{\alpha + \beta}. \quad (3.18)$$

By a similar argument, we get

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{z} = \frac{z^2 p''(z) + [2(\alpha + \beta) + 1]z p'(z) + (\alpha + \beta)^2 p(z)}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} \quad (3.19)$$

and

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{z} = \frac{z^3 p'''(z) + 3(\alpha + \beta + 1)z^2 p''(z) + [3(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta + 1)]z p'(z) + (\alpha + \beta)^3 p(z)}{(\alpha + \beta)^3}. \quad (3.20)$$

Define the transformation from $\mathbb{C}^4$ to $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} a(r, s, t, u) &= r, b(r, s, t, u) = \frac{s + (\alpha + \beta)r}{\alpha + \beta}, \\ c(r, s, t, u) &= \frac{t + [2(\alpha + \beta) + 1] + (\alpha + \beta)^2 r}{(\alpha + \beta)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$d(r, s, t, u) = \frac{u + 3(\alpha + \beta + 1)t + [3(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta + 1) + 1]s + (\alpha + \beta)^3 r}{(\alpha + \beta)^3}. \quad (3.22)$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r, s, t, u) &= \Phi(a, b, c, d; z) = \\ &\Phi\left(\frac{r, \frac{s + (\alpha + \beta)r}{\alpha + \beta}, \frac{t + [2(\alpha + \beta) + 1] + (\alpha + \beta)^2 r}{(\alpha + \beta)^2}, \frac{u + 3(\alpha + \beta + 1)t + [3(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha + \beta + 1) + 1]s + (\alpha + \beta)^3 r}{(\alpha + \beta)^3}}{z}; z\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

The proof will make use of Lemma (2.1). Using the equations (3.17) to (3.20), and from (3.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z); z) &= \\ &\Phi\left(\frac{Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{z}; z\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Hence, clearly, (3.16) becomes

$$\varphi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z); z) \in \Omega,$$

we note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)[c + a - 2b]}{b - a}$$

and

$$\frac{u}{s} = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2[d - 3c + 3b - a] - (\alpha + \beta)[5a + 3(c - 2b)]}{b - a} + 2.$$

Thus clearly, the admissibility condition for $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$ in Definition (3.3) is equivalent to admissibility condition for $\varphi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ as given in Definition (2.3) with $n = 2$. Therefore, by using (3.13) and Lemma (2.1), we have

$$\frac{Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z} \prec q(z).$$

This completes the proof of theorem (3.4).

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(U)$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of U onto Ω . In this case, the class $\mathcal{L}_{j,1}[h(U), q]$ is written as $\mathcal{L}_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$. This leads to the following immediate consequence of Theorem (3.4) is sated below.

Theorem (3.5). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$. If the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\xi q_\rho''(\xi)}{q_\rho'(\xi)}\right) \geq 0, \quad \left|\frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z q_\rho'(\xi)}\right| \leq k, \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$\Phi\left(\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3}f(z)}{z}; z\right) < h(z) \quad (3.26)$$

then

$$\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z)}{z} < q(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

In view of Definition (3.3) and in the special case $q(z) = Mz$, $M > 0$, the class of admissible functions $\mathcal{L}_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$, denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{j,1}[\Omega, M]$ is expressed as follows.

Definition (3.4). Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $M > 0$. The class $\mathcal{L}_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$ of admissible functions consists of those functions $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that:

$$\Phi\left(\frac{Me^{i\theta}}{\alpha+\beta}, \frac{k+(\alpha+\beta)}{\alpha+\beta}Me^{i\theta}, \frac{L+([2(\alpha+\beta)+1]k+(\alpha+\beta)^2)Me^{i\theta}}{(\alpha+\beta)^2}, \frac{N+3(\alpha+\beta+1)L+([3(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta+1)+1]k+(\alpha+\beta)^3)Me^{i\theta}}{(\alpha+\beta)^3}; z\right) \notin \Omega \quad (3.27)$$

whenever

$$z \in U, \quad \operatorname{Re}(Le^{-i}) \geq (k-1)kM$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}(Ne^{-i}) \geq 0, \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{R}; k \geq 2.$$

Corollary (3.6). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\left|\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{z}\right| \leq kM, \quad (z \in U, k \geq 2; M > 0),$$

and

$$\Phi\left(\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3}f(z)}{z}; z\right) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$\left|\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{z}\right| < M.$$

In the special case, when $\Omega = q(U) = \{w: |w| < M\}$, the class $\mathcal{L}_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$ is simply denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{j,1}[M]$. Corollary (3.6) can now be rewritten in the following form.

Corollary (3.7). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\left|\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{z}\right| \leq kM, \quad (z \in U, k \geq 2; M > 0),$$

and

$$\left|\Phi\left(\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3}f(z)}{z}; z\right)\right| < M,$$

then

$$\left| \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z)}{z} \right| < M.$$

Definition (3.5). Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_1 \cap H_1$. The class $\mathcal{L}_{j,2}[\Omega, q]$ of admissible functions consists of those functions $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which satisfy the following admissibility conditions:

$$\Phi(a, b, c, d; z) \notin \Omega,$$

whenever

$$a = q(\xi), \quad b = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \left[\frac{k\xi q'(\xi) + (\alpha + \beta)(q(\xi))^2}{q(\xi)} \right],$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)[cb + 2a^2 - 3ab]}{b - a} \right) \geq k \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} + 1 \right),$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re}([bc(d - c)(\alpha + \beta)^2 - b(\alpha + \beta)(c - b)[(\alpha + \beta)(3a - b + 1) + 3])(a - b)^{-1} - 2a(\alpha + \beta) + (\alpha + \beta)(b - a)[(\alpha + \beta)(b - 5a) - 3] + 2) \geq k^2 \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi^2 q'''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right),$$

where $z \in U$, $\xi \in \partial U \setminus E(q)$ and $k \geq 2$.

Theorem (3.6). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{j,2}[\Omega, q]$. If the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_1 \cap H_1$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z)} \right| \leq k, \quad (3.28)$$

and

$$\left\{ \Phi \left(\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z)}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z)}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-4} f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3} f(z)}; z \right) : z \in U \right\} \subset \Omega, \quad (3.29)$$

then

$$\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z)} < q(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

Proof. Define the analytic function $p(z)$ in U by

$$p(z) = \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z)}. \quad (3.30)$$

From equation (1.4) and (3.30), we have

$$\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1} f(z)} = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \left[\frac{zp'(z) + (\alpha + \beta)p^2(z)}{p(z)} \right] = \frac{A}{\alpha + \beta}. \quad (3.31)$$

By a similar argument, we get

$$\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2} f(z)} = \frac{B}{\alpha + \beta} \quad (3.32)$$

and

$$\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-4}f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3}f(z)} = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} [B + B^{-1}(C + A^{-1}D - A^{-2}C^2)], \quad (3.33)$$

where

$$B = \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + (\alpha + \beta)p(z) + \frac{\frac{z^2p''(z) + zp'(z)}{p(z)} - \left(\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right)^2 + (\alpha + \beta)zp'(z)}{\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} + (\alpha + \beta)p(z)},$$

$$C = \frac{z^2p''(z) + zp'(z)}{p(z)} - \left(\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right)^2 + (\alpha + \beta)zp'(z)$$

and

$$D = \frac{z^3p'''(z) + 3z^2p''(z) + zp'(z)}{p(z)} - \frac{3z^2(p'(z))^2 + 3z^3p''(z)p'(z)}{(p(z))^2} + 2\left(\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right)^3$$

$$+ (\alpha + \beta)z^2p''(z) + (\alpha + \beta)zp'(z).$$

We now define the transformation from $\mathbb{C}^4$ to $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$a(r, s, t, u) = r, b(r, s, t, u) = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \left[\frac{s + (\alpha + \beta)r^2}{r} \right] = \frac{E}{\alpha + \beta},$$

$c(r, s, t, u) =$

$$\frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \left[\frac{s + (\alpha + \beta)r^2}{r} + \frac{\frac{t + s}{r} - \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^2 + (\alpha + \beta)s}{\frac{s}{r} + (\alpha + \beta)r} \right] = \frac{F}{\alpha + \beta} \quad (3.34)$$

and

$$d(r, s, t, u) = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} [F + F^{-1}(L + HE^{-1} - E^{-2}L^2)], \quad (3.35)$$

where

$$L = \frac{t + s}{r} - \left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^2 + (\alpha + \beta)s$$

and

$$H = \frac{u + 3t + s}{r} - 3\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^2 - 3\frac{st}{r^2} + 2\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)^3 + (\alpha + \beta)(s + t).$$

Let

$$\varphi(r, s, t, u) = \Phi(a, b, c, d) =$$

$$\Phi\left(r, \frac{E}{\alpha + \beta}, \frac{F}{\alpha + \beta}, \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} [F + F^{-1}(L + HE^{-1} - E^{-2}L^2)]\right). \quad (3.36)$$

The proof will make use of Lemma (2.1). Using the equations (3.30) to (3.33), and from (3.36), we have

$$\varphi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) =$$

$$\Phi\left(\frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha}f(z)}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-1}f(z)}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3}f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-2}f(z)}, \frac{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-4}f(z)}{Q_{\beta}^{\alpha-3}f(z)}; z\right). \quad (3.37)$$

Hence, clearly (3.29) leads to

$$\varphi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) \in \Omega.$$

We note that

$$\frac{t}{s} + 1 = \frac{(\alpha + \beta)[cb + 2a^2 - 3ab]}{b - a}$$

and

$$\frac{u}{s} =$$

$$\left[bc(d - c)(\alpha + \beta)^2 - b(\alpha + \beta)(c - b)[(\alpha + \beta)(3a - b + 1) + 3] \right] (a - b)^{-1} - 2a(\alpha + \beta) + (\alpha + \beta)(b - a)[(\alpha + \beta)(b - 5a) - 3] + 2.$$

Thus clearly, the admissibility condition for $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{j,2}[\Omega, q]$ in Definition (3.5) is equivalent to admissibility condition for $\varphi \in \Psi_2[\Omega, q]$ as given in Definition (2.3) with $n = 2$. Therefore, by using (3.30) and Lemma (2.1), we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)} < q(z).$$

This completes the proof of theorem (3.6).

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(U)$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of U onto Ω . In this case, the class $\mathcal{L}_{j,2}[h(U), q]$ is written as $\mathcal{L}_{j,2}[\Omega, q]$. This leads to the following immediate consequence of Theorem (3.6) is sated below without proof.

Theorem (3.7). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{j,2}[\Omega, q]$. If the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $q \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ satisfy the following conditions (3.29) and

$$\Phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-4}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z)}; z \right) < h(z),$$

then

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)} < q(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

4- Third-Order Differential Superordination Results

Definition (4.1). Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q \in Q_0 \cap H_0$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\mathcal{L}'_j[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, that satisfy the following admissibility conditions:

$$\Phi(a, b, c, d; \xi) \in \Omega,$$

whenever

$$a = q(z), \quad b = \frac{zq'(z) + m(\alpha + \beta - 1)q(z)}{m(\alpha + \beta)},$$

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2 c - (\alpha + \beta - 1)[2(\alpha + \beta)(a + b) - a]}{(\alpha + \beta)b - (\alpha + \beta - 1)a} \right) \leq \frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + 1 \right)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{(\alpha+\beta)^3(d-3c) + [(\alpha+\beta)^2(7(\alpha+\beta)-4) + (\alpha+\beta)]b + (\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta-1)[5-9(\alpha+\beta)]a}{(\alpha+\beta)b - (\alpha+\beta-1)a} \right) \leq \frac{1}{m^2} \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{z^2 q'''(z)}{q'(z)} \right),$$

where $z \in U$, $\xi \in \partial U$ and $m \geq 2$.

Theorem (4.1). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j'[\Omega, q]$. If the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$, with $\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_0$ and if $q \in H_0$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$, satisfying the following conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi q''(z)}{q'(z)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{q'(z)} \right| \leq m \quad (4.1)$$

and the function

$$\Phi(\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z)$$

is univalent in U , then

$$\Omega \subset \{\Phi(\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z) : z \in U\}, \quad (4.2)$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec \mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

Proof. Let the function $p(z)$ be defined by (3.2) and φ by (3.8). Since $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j'[\Omega, q]$. From (3.10) and (4.2), we have

$$\Omega \subset \{\Phi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z); z) : z \in U\}.$$

From (3.7) and (3.8), we see that the admissibility condition for $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j'[\Omega, q]$ in Definition (4.1) is equivalent to the admissibility for $\varphi \in \Psi_n'[\Omega, q]$ as given in Definition (2.5) with $n = 2$. Hence $\varphi \in \Psi_2'[\Omega, q]$ and by using (4.2) and Lemma (2.2), we find that

$$q(z) \prec \mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem (4.1).

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(U)$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of U onto Ω . In this case, the class $\mathcal{L}_j'[\Omega, q]$ is written as $\mathcal{L}_j'[h, q]$. This leads to the following immediate consequence of Theorem (4.1) is stated below.

Theorem (4.2). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j'[h, q]$ and let h be analytic in U . If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_0$, and if $q \in H_0$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$, satisfying the following conditions (4.1) and the function

$$\Phi(\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z)$$

is univalent in U , then

$$h(z) \prec \Phi(\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z) \quad (4.3)$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec \mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

Theorem (4.1) and (4.2) can only be used to obtain subordination for the Third-Order differential superordination of the form (4.2) or (4.3). The following theorem gives the existence of the best subordinant of (4.3) for suitable Φ .

Theorem (4.3). Let the function h be univalent in U . Also let $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and φ be given by (3.9). Suppose that the following differential equation:

$$\varphi(q(z), zq'(z), z^2 q''(z), z^3 q'''(z); z) = h(z) \quad (4.4)$$

has a solution $q(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_0$. If the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_0$, and if $q \in H_0$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$, satisfying the following conditions (4.1) and the function

$$\Phi(\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z)$$

is analytic in U , then

$$h(z) < \Phi(\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), \mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z)$$

implies that

$$q(z) < \mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z), \quad (z \in U)$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subdominant.

Proof. By applying Theorem (4.1) and (4.2), we deduce that q is a subdominant of (4.3). Since q satisfies (4.4), it is also a solution of (4.3) and therefore, q will be subdominant by all subdominants. Hence q is the best subdominant. This completes the proof of Theorem (4.3).

Definition (4.2). Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q \in H_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible function $\mathcal{L}'_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, that satisfy the following admissibility conditions:

$$\Phi(a, b, c, d; \xi) \in \Omega,$$

whenever

$$a = q(z), \quad b = \frac{zq'(z) + m(\alpha + \beta)q(z)}{m(\alpha + \beta)},$$

$$Re\left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)[c + a - 2b]}{b - a}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m} Re\left(\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + 1\right)$$

and

$$Re\left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2[d - 3c + 3b - a] - (\alpha + \beta)[5a + 3(c - 2b)]}{b - a} + 2\right) \leq \frac{1}{m^2} Re\left(\frac{z^2 q'''(z)}{q'(z)}\right),$$

where $z \in U, \xi \in \partial U$ and $m \geq 2$.

Theorem (4.4). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}'_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z} \in \mathbb{Q}_1$, and if $q \in H_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$, satisfying the following conditions:

$$Re\left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)}\right) \geq 0, \quad \left|\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{zq'(z)}\right| \leq m \quad (4.5)$$

and the function

$$\Phi\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{z}; z\right),$$

is univalent in U , then

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \Phi\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{z}; z\right) : z \in U \right\} \quad (4.6)$$

implies that

$$q(z) < \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}, \quad (z \in U).$$

Proof. Let the function $p(z)$ be defined by (3.17) and φ by (3.23). Since $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}'_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$, we find from (3.24) and (4.6) that

$$\Omega \subset \{\varphi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z); z) : z \in U\}$$

From the equations (3.21) and (3.22), we see that the admissibility condition for $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}'_{j,1}[\Omega, q]$ in Definition (4.1) is equivalent to the admissibility condition for φ as given in Definition (2.3) with $n = 2$. Hence $\varphi \in \Psi'_2[\Omega, q]$ and by using (4.6) and Lemma (2.2), we have

$$q(z) < \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}, \quad (z \in U).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem (4.4).

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(U)$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of U onto Ω . In this case, the class $\mathcal{L}'_{j,1}[h(U), q]$ is written as $\mathcal{L}'_{j,1}[h, q]$. This leads to the following immediate consequence of Theorem (4.4).

Theorem (4.5). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}'_{j,1}[h, q]$ and h be analytic in U . If the functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$, with $q \in H_1$ and $q'(z) \neq 0$, satisfying the following conditions (4.5) and the function

$$\Phi\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{z}; z\right)$$

is univalent in U , then

$$h(z) < \Phi\left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{z}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{z}; z\right),$$

implies that

$$q(z) < \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}, \quad (z \in U).$$

Definition (4.3). Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q \in H_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\mathcal{L}'_{j,2}[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\Phi: \mathbb{C}^4 \times \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, that satisfy the following admissibility conditions:

$$\Phi(a, b, c, d; \xi) \in \Omega,$$

whenever

$$a = q(z), \quad b = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta} \left[\frac{zq'(z) + m(\alpha + \beta)(q(z))^2}{mq(z)} \right],$$

$$Re\left(\frac{(\alpha + \beta)[cb + 2a^2 - 3ab]}{b - a}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m} Re\left(\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + 1\right)$$

and

$$Re\left([bc(d - c)(\alpha + \beta)^2 - b(\alpha + \beta)(c - b)[(\alpha + \beta)(3a - b + 1) + 3]](a - b)^{-1} - 2a(\alpha + \beta) + (\alpha + \beta)(b - a)[(\alpha + \beta)(b - 5a) - 3] + 2\right) \leq \frac{1}{m^2} Re\left(\frac{z^2 q'''(z)}{q'(z)}\right),$$

where $z \in U$, $\xi \in \partial U \setminus E(q)$ and $k \geq 2$.

Theorem (4.6). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}'_{j,2}[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)} \in \mathbb{Q}_1$, and if $q \in H_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$, satisfying the following conditions:

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{\xi q''(\xi)}{q'(\xi)} \right) \geq 0, \quad \left| \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)} \right| \leq m \quad (4.7)$$

and the function

$$\Phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-4}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z)}; z \right)$$

is univalent in U , then

$$\Omega \subset \left\{ \Phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-4}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z)}; z \right) : z \in U \right\} \quad (4.8)$$

implies that

$$q(z) < \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}, \quad (z \in U).$$

Proof. Let the function $p(z)$ be defined by (3.30) and φ by (3.36). Since $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}'_{j,2}[\Omega, q]$, we find from (3.37) and (4.8) that

$$\Omega \subset \{\varphi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z)); z \in U\}.$$

From the equations (3.34) and (3.35), we see that the admissibility condition for $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}'_{j,2}[\Omega, q]$ in Definition (4.3) is equivalent to the admissibility condition for φ as given in Definition (2.5) when $n = 2$. Hence $\varphi \in \Psi'_2[\Omega, q]$ and by using (4.7) and Lemma (2.2), we have

$$q(z) < \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}, \quad (z \in U).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem (4.6).

Theorem (4.7). Let $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}'_{j,2}[\Omega, q]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)} \in \mathbb{Q}_1$, and if $q \in H_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$, satisfying the following conditions (4.7) and the function

$$\Phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-4}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z)}; z \right),$$

is univalent in U , then

$$h(z) < \Phi \left(\frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-2}f(z)}, \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-4}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-3}f(z)}; z \right)$$

implies that

$$q(z) < \frac{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^{\alpha-1}f(z)}{\mathcal{Q}_\beta^\alpha f(z)}, \quad (z \in U).$$

5- Sandwich Results

By combining Theorem (3.2) and (4.2), we obtain the following sandwich-type theorem.

Theorem (5.1). Let h_1 and q_1 be analytic functions in U . Also let h_2 be univalent function in U and $q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_0$ with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_j[h_2, q_2] \cap \mathcal{L}'_j[h_1, q_1]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) \in \mathbb{Q}_0 \cap H_0$ and the function

$$\Phi(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z),$$

is univalent in U , and if the conditions (3.1) and (4.1) are satisfied, then

$$h_1(z) < \Phi(Q_\beta^\alpha f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z), Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z); z) < h_2(z)$$

implies that

$$q_1(z) < Q_\beta^\alpha f(z) < q_2(z), \quad (z \in U). \quad (5.1)$$

If, on the other hand, we combine Theorem (3.5) and (4.5), we obtain the following sandwich-type Theorem.

Theorem (5.2). Let h_1 and q_1 be analytic functions in U . Also let h_2 be univalent function in U and $q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{j,1}[h_2, q_2] \cap \mathcal{L}'_{j,1}[h_1, q_1]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\frac{Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z} \in \mathbb{Q}_1 \cap H_1$ and the function

$$\Phi\left(\frac{Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{z}; z\right),$$

is univalent in U , and if the conditions (3.15) and (4.5) are satisfied, then

$$h_1(z) < \Phi\left(\frac{Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{z}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{z}; z\right) < h_2(z)$$

implies that

$$q_1(z) < \frac{Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)}{z} < q_2(z), \quad (z \in U). \quad (5.2)$$

Theorem (5.3). Let h_1 and q_1 be analytic functions in U . Also let h_2 be univalent function in U and $q_2 \in \mathbb{Q}_1$ with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}_{j,2}[h_2, q_2] \cap \mathcal{L}'_{j,2}[h_1, q_1]$. If the function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)} \in \mathbb{Q}_1 \cap H_1$ and the function

$$\Phi\left(\frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-4} f(z)}{Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}; z\right),$$

is univalent in U , and if the conditions (3.28) and (4.7) are satisfied, then

$$h_1(z) < \Phi\left(\frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}{Q_\beta^{\alpha-2} f(z)}, \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-4} f(z)}{Q_\beta^{\alpha-3} f(z)}; z\right) < h_2(z)$$

implies that

$$q_1(z) < \frac{Q_\beta^{\alpha-1} f(z)}{Q_\beta^\alpha f(z)} < q_2(z), \quad (z \in U). \quad (5.3)$$

References:

- [1] S. A. Al-Ameedee, W. G. Atshan and F. A. Al-Maamori, On sandwich results of univalent functions defined by a linear operator, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, 23(4) (2020), 803-809.
- [2] S. A. Al-Ameedee, W. G. Atshan and F. A. Al-Maamori, Some new results of differential subordinations for Higher-order derivatives of multivalent functions, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1804 (2021) 012111, 1-11.
- [3] J. A. Antonino, S. S. Miller, Third-Order differential inequalities and subordination in the complex plane, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 56(2011), 439-454.
- [4] W. G. Atshan and A. A. R. Ali, On some sandwich theorems of analytic functions involving Noor- Sălăgean operator, *Advances in Mathematics: Scientific Journal*, 9(10) (2020), 8455-8467.
- [5] W. G. Atshan and A. A. R. Ali, On sandwich theorems results for certain univalent functions defined by generalized operators, *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 62(7) (2021), pp: 2376-2383.
- [6] W. G. Atshan and E. I. Badawi, On sandwich theorems for certain univalent functions defined by a new operator, *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, 11(2)(2019), 72-80.
- [7] W. G. Atshan, A. H. Battor and A. F. Abaas, On third-order differential subordination results for univalent analytic functions involving an operator, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1664 (2020) 012044, 1-19.
- [8] W. G. Atshan, A. H. Battor and A. F. Abaas, Some sandwich theorems for meromorphic univalent functions defined by new integral operator, *Journal of Interdisciplinary Mathematics*, 24(3) (2021), 579-591.
- [9] W. G. Atshan and R. A. Hadi, Some differential subordination superordination results of p-valent functions defined by differential operator, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1664(2020) 012043, 1-15.
- [10] W. G. Atshan, R. A. Hiress and S. Altinkaya, On third-order differential subordination and superordination properties of analytic functions defined by a generalized operator, *Symmetry*, 14 (2) (2022), 418, 1-17.
- [11] W. G. Atshan and S. A. Jawad, On differential sandwich results for analytic functions, *Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics*, 11(1) (2019), 96-101.
- [12] W. G. Atshan and S. R. Kulkarni, On application of differential subordination for certain subclass of meromorphically p-valent functions with positive coefficients defined by linear operator, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 10(2) (2009), Article 53, 11pp.
- [13] N. E. Cho, T. Bulboacă and H. M. Srivastava, A general family of integral and associated subordination and superordination properties of some special analytic function classes, *Apple. Math. Comput.*, 219(2012), 2278-2288.
- [14] A. M. Darweesh, W. G. Atshan, A. H. Battor and A. A. Lupas, Third-order differential subordination results for analytic functions associated with a certain differential operator, *Symmetry*, 14(1) (2022), 99, 1-15.

- [15] H. A. Farzana, B. A. Stephen and M. P. Jeyaramam, Third-order differential subordination of analytic functions defined by functional derivative operator, *An Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi Mat. (New Ser.)*, 62(2016), 105-120
- [16] M. P. Jeyaraman and T. K. Suresh, Third-order differential subordination of analytic functions, *Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform.*, 35 (2013), 187–202.
- [17] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Subordinants of differential superordinations, *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.*, 48 (2003), 815-826.
- [18] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one parameter families of integral operators, *J. Math. Anal. App.* 176(1993),138-147.
- [19] S. Ponnusamy and O. P. Juneja, Third-order differential inequalities in the complex plane, in *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hong Kong, (1992).
- [20] D. Răducanu, Third order differential subordinations for analytic functions associated with generalized Mittag-Leffler functions, *Mediterr. J. Math.*, 14 (4) (2017), Article ID 167, 1–18.
- [21] H. Tang and E. Deniz, Third-order differential subordination results for analytic functions involving the generalized Bessel functions, *Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed.*,34 (2014),1707-1719.
- [22] H. Tang, H. M. Srivastava, E. Deniz and S. Li, Third-order differential superordination involving the generalized Bessel functions, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, 38 (2015), 1669–1688.
- [23] H. Tang, H. M. Srivastava, S. Li and L. Ma, Third order differential subordination and superordination results for meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the Liu-Srivastava operator, *Abstr. App. Anal.* , 2014 (2014), Article ID 792175, 1-11.